

1 BILAL A. ESSAYLI
2 United States Attorney
3 CHRISTINA T. SHAY
Assistant United States Attorney
4 Chief, Criminal Division
5 KEVIN B. REIDY (Cal. Bar No. 320583)
BENEDETTO L. BALDING (Cal. Bar No. 244508)
6 Assistant United States Attorney
7 Major Frauds/Transnational Organized Crime Sections
8 1100 United States Courthouse
312 North Spring Street
Los Angeles, California 90012
Telephone: (213) 894-8536/2274
Facsimile: (213) 894-0141
E-mail: kevin.reidy@usdoj.gov
Benedetto.balding@usdoj.gov

9
10 Attorneys for Plaintiff
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

12 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

No. CR 24-00295-RGK

14 Plaintiff,

[PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL
DATE AND FINDINGS REGARDING
EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS PURSUANT
TO SPEEDY TRIAL ACT

15 v.

16 ANDREW A. WIEDERHORN, et al.,

[PROPOSED] TRIAL DATE: [01-13-26]

17 Defendants.

18
19
20 The Court has read and considered the Stipulation Regarding
21 Request for (1) Continuance of Trial Date and (2) Findings of
22 Excludable Time Periods Pursuant to Speedy Trial Act, filed by the
23 parties in this matter. The Court hereby finds that the Stipulation,
24 which this Court incorporates by reference into this Order,
25 demonstrates facts that support a continuance of the trial date in
26 this matter, and provides good cause for a finding of excludable time
27 pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161.
28

1 The Court further finds that: (i) the ends of justice served by
2 the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and
3 defendants in a speedy trial; (ii) failure to grant the continuance
4 would be likely to make a continuation of the proceeding impossible,
5 or result in a miscarriage of justice; (iii) failure to grant the
6 continuance would unreasonably deny defendant continuity of counsel
7 and would deny the parties the reasonable time necessary for
8 effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due
9 diligence; and (iv) the case is so unusual and so complex, due to the
10 nature of the prosecution, the number of defendants, and the
11 existence of novel questions of fact and law that it is unreasonable
12 to expect preparation for pre-trial proceedings or for the trial
13 itself within the time limits established by the Speedy Trial Act.

14 THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN:

15 1. The trial in this matter is continued from October 28,
16 2025, to January 13, 2026.

17 2. The time period of October 28, 2025, to January 13, 2026,
18 inclusive, is excluded in computing the time within which the trial
19 must commence, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(i),
20 (h)(7)(B)(ii), (h)(7)(B)(iv), and (h)(6).

21 3. Defendants shall appear at the United States District Court
22 at 255 East Temple Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012, Courtroom 850, 8th
23 Floor on January 13, 2026, at 9:00 a.m.

24 4. Nothing in this Order shall preclude a finding that other
25 provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time
26 periods are excluded from the period within which trial must
27 commence. Moreover, the same provisions and/or other provisions of
28 the Speedy Trial Act may in the future authorize the exclusion of

1 additional time periods from the period within which trial must
2 commence.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.
4

5 DATE

HONORABLE R. GARY KLAUSNER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

6
7
8
9 Presented by:

10 /s/
11 KEVIN B. REIDY
Assistant United States Attorney
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28